

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

206439Orig1s000

SUMMARY REVIEW

Summary Review for Regulatory Action

| | |
|--|---|
| Date | (electronic stamp) |
| From | Billy Dunn, MD |
| Subject | Division Director Summary Review |
| NDA/BLA # | 206439 |
| Supplement # | |
| Applicant Name | Forest Laboratories |
| Date of Submission | 2/26/14 |
| PDUFA Goal Date | 12/26/14 |
| Proprietary Name/ Established (USAN) Name | Namzaric/extended-release memantine hydrochloride and donepezil hydrochloride |
| Dosage Forms/Strength | Oral capsule/extended-release memantine combined with donepezil in once daily doses of 28 mg/10 mg or 14 mg/10 mg |
| Proposed Indication(s) | Treatment of moderate to severe dementia of the Alzheimer's type |
| Action/Recommended Action for NME: | Approval |

| | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Material Reviewed/Consulted | |
| OND Action Package, including: | Names of discipline reviewers |
| Medical Officer Review | Ranjit Mani, MD |
| Statistical Review | Xiaoyu Dong, PhD |
| Pharmacology Toxicology Review | David Hawver, PhD |
| CMC/OBP Review | Pei-I Chu, PhD; Okpo Eradiri, PhD |
| Microbiology Review | N/A |
| Clinical Pharmacology Review | Xinning Yang, PhD |
| OPDP | Aline Moukhtara, RN, MPH |
| OSI | Gajendiran Mahadevan, PhD |
| CDTL Review | Ranjit Mani, MD |
| OSE/DMEPA | Justine Harris, RPh |
| OSE/DDRE | N/A |
| OSE/DRISK | N/A |
| OMP/DMPP | Sharon Williams, MSN, BSN, RN |
| PMHS | N/A |
| SEALD | N/A |
| Other | N/A |

OND=Office of New Drugs
OPDP=Office of Prescription Drug Promotion
OSE=Office of Surveillance and Epidemiology
DMEPA=Division of Medication Error Prevention and Analysis
OSI=Office of Scientific Investigations
CDTL=Cross-Discipline Team Leader
CDRH=Center for Devices and Radiologic Health

PMHS=Pediatric and Maternal Health Staff
DDRE=Division of Drug Risk Evaluation
DRISK=Division of Risk Management
OMP=Office of Medical Policy
DMPP=Division of Medical Policy Programs
SEALD=Study Endpoints and Labeling Development
CSS=Controlled Substance Staff

1. Introduction

This submission is an application for the approval of a fixed-dose combination (which, for convenience, I will call MDX in this memo, drawn from its investigational designation of MDX-8704) of extended-release memantine (MEM) and donepezil (DPZ) in doses of MEM 28 mg combined with DPZ 10 mg (28/10) and MEM 14 mg combined with DPZ 10 mg (14/10).

Extended-release memantine is an approved drug for the treatment of moderate to severe dementia of the Alzheimer's type, marketed by the sponsor of the current application as Namenda XR in various strengths, including 14 mg and 28 mg. Donepezil is an approved drug for the treatment of mild, moderate, and severe dementia of the Alzheimer's type, marketed as Aricept in various strengths, including 10 mg.

This application is supported by cross-reference to the sponsor's own approved memantine (Namenda) and extended-release memantine (Namenda XR) applications, reliance on our previous finding of safety and effectiveness for approved donepezil (Aricept), clinical pharmacology studies intended to evaluate bioequivalence and bioavailability of the new product, additional nonclinical studies, and manufacturing information.

The members of the review team recommend approval and I will briefly discuss their major findings.

2. Background

This is the first application for this product. The underlying rationale for this product is that of a combination of convenience, as Namenda XR and donepezil are frequently taken together in clinical use, and such a combination should simplify administration and enhance compliance with prescribed therapy. During development, the sponsor discussed the rationale for the development of MDX with us and reached agreement with us on the proposed basis for approval described above over the course of several meetings, including an end of Phase 2 meeting on October 13, 2011, a Type C meeting on June 20, 2013, and a pre-NDA meeting on November 19, 2013. There are no outstanding issues from those meetings.

3. CMC/Device

I concur with the conclusions reached by Dr. Chu, Dr. Eradiri, and Dr. Dong regarding the acceptability of the manufacturing of the drug product and drug substance. Manufacturing site inspections were acceptable. Stability testing supports an expiry of 18 months. There are no outstanding issues.

4. Nonclinical Pharmacology/Toxicology

The sponsor submitted additional nonclinical studies of the combination of MEM and DPZ. In addition to evaluating toxicity and dose-ranging, the submitted studies included data the sponsor argues support a synergistic effect of MEM and DPZ on cognitive function and acetylcholine levels. Dr. Hawver reviewed these data, as did the nonclinical supervisor, Dr. Lois Freed, and both Dr. Hawver and Dr. Freed find that the data are insufficient to support such a synergistic effect due to lack of concurrent controls, small numbers of animals per group, and the absence of individual animal data to allow independent analyses. I concur with the conclusions reached by Dr. Hawver that there are no outstanding nonclinical issues that preclude approval.

5. Clinical Pharmacology/Biopharmaceutics

The sponsor submitted additional clinical pharmacology studies intended to establish the bioequivalence of MDX with the combination of individually administered Namenda XR and donepezil and assess the characteristics of the combination. Dr. Eradiri reviewed these data and found that high dose MDX (28/10) was bioequivalent to its co-administered individual components. Dr. Eradiri reviewed the request for a biowaiver for low dose MDX (14/10) and found it acceptable. Dr. Yang reviewed the food effect study and found no clinically significant effect of food on the bioavailability of MDX. I concur with the conclusions reached by Dr. Eradiri and Dr. Yang that there are no outstanding clinical pharmacology issues that preclude approval.

6. Clinical Microbiology

N/A

7. Clinical/Statistical-Efficacy

Efficacy is addressed by assessment of bioequivalence to drugs of known effectiveness. There are no new efficacy data. I note that each component of MDX has a different presumed mechanism of action in Alzheimer's dementia. I also note that the primary study supporting approval of Namenda XR was of an add-on design, superimposing Namenda XR on a background of stable acetylcholinesterase inhibitor therapy, about 70% of which was donepezil.

8. Safety

Safety parameters in the clinical pharmacology studies were reviewed in detail by Dr. Mani and are presented in his memo containing both his primary review findings and his recommendations as Cross-Discipline Team Leader. The safety profile of MDX, as assessed in the clinical pharmacology studies, is consistent with the known safety profiles of its individual components, and Dr. Mani finds no safety issues of concern. I concur with the conclusions reached by Dr. Mani that there are no outstanding safety issues that preclude approval.

9. Advisory Committee Meeting

N/A

10. Pediatrics

We are waiving the pediatric study requirement for this application because dementia of the Alzheimer's type does not occur in children.

11. Other Relevant Regulatory Issues

There are no other unresolved relevant regulatory issues.

12. Labeling

Labeling negotiations with the sponsor have been completed and the sponsor has accepted all recommended changes.

13. Decision/Action/Risk Benefit Assessment

I agree with the review team that this application should be approved.

The sponsor has provided substantial evidence of effectiveness for MDX based on cross-reference to the sponsor's own approved memantine (Namenda) and extended-release memantine (Namenda XR) applications, reliance on our previous finding of safety and effectiveness for approved donepezil (Aricept), and a demonstration of bioequivalence of MDX to its co-administered individual components (Namenda XR and donepezil). The independent contribution of each component of this fixed-dose combination has been established by these findings. Further, this combination should result in increased

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.