

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

206276Orig1s000

PHARMACOLOGY REVIEW(S)

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH**

PHARMACOLOGY/TOXICOLOGY NDA REVIEW AND EVALUATION

Application number: 206276
Supporting document/s: SDN014 (eCTD013)
Applicant's letter date: 1/22/2015
CDER stamp date: 1/22/2015
Product: Olopatadine hydrochloride ophthalmic solution,
0.77%
Indication: Treatment of signs and symptoms of allergic
conjunctivitis
Applicant: Alcon Research Ltd
6201 South Freeway MS TC 45
Fort Worth, TX 76134
Review Division: Division of Transplant and Ophthalmology
Products
Reviewer: Aaron M Ruhland, PhD
Supervisor/Team Leader: Lori Kotch, PhD, DABT
Division Director: Renata Albrecht, MD
Project Manager: Lois Almoza

Disclaimer

Except as specifically identified, all data and information discussed below and necessary for approval of NDA 206276 are owned by Alcon Research Ltd or are data for which Alcon Research Ltd has obtained a written right of reference. Any information or data necessary for approval of NDA 206276 that Alcon Research Ltd does not own or have a written right to reference constitutes one of the following: (1) published literature, or (2) a prior FDA finding of safety or effectiveness for a listed drug, as reflected in the drug's approved labeling. Any data or information described or referenced below from reviews or publicly available summaries of a previously approved application is for descriptive purposes only and is not relied upon for approval of NDA 206276.

1 Executive Summary

1.1 Introduction

The subject of this NDA is olopatadine hydrochloride ophthalmic solution, 0.77% administered as a once daily topical ocular drop for the treatment of ocular itching associated with allergic conjunctivitis. A nonclinical review of the approvability of the NDA application has been conducted (dated 12-31-2014). This review represents reconsideration of Section 8 of the labeling following a counterproposal by the applicant. Specifically, the applicant contends that labeling language in Section 8 describing increased embryoletality and cleft palate in rats following oral administration of 60 mg/kg olopatadine during gestation falls within or near historical control values. The applicant submitted historical control data from nonclinical embryo-fetal studies to support these arguments .

1.2 Brief Discussion of Nonclinical Findings

- The applicant's argument that increased embryoletality following daily oral olopatadine doses of 60 mg/kg in pregnant rats falls within the historical control range is supported by the data submitted. The FDA proposed labeling has been amended in support of these findings.
- The applicant's argument that [REDACTED] (b) (4) [REDACTED]. The FDA proposed labeling has not been amended in support of these findings.
- It was suggested in internal discussion that [REDACTED] (b) (4) [REDACTED] be deleted from the labeling to reduce potential confusion when comparing this multiple to that of the 1 mg/kg/day based on comparison of exposure (i.e. 45 – 150 fold).
- The human exposure (AUC_{0-12}) to Pazeo following the recommended dosing regimen reported in Section 12.3 of the labeling was changed based upon the Clinical Pharmacology reviewer's calculations (changed from [REDACTED] (b) (4) [REDACTED] to 9.7 ng-hr/mL). The human AUC reported in Section 8 and resultant safety margin calculations have been revised to reflect this change.
- During ongoing revision, the applicant suggested the addition of the following statement to Section 8.3:
 - "An oral dose of 1 mg/kg olopatadine in rats resulted in a range of systemic plasma area under the curve (AUC) levels that were 45 to 150 times higher than the observed human exposure [9.7 ng-hr/mL] following administration of the recommended human ophthalmic dose."

1.3. Labeling

1.3.1 Former FDA proposed labeling (see letter dated 12-31-2014)

8.1 Pregnancy

Risk Summary

There are no adequate or well-controlled studies with PAZEO in pregnant women. Olopatadine caused maternal toxicity and embryofetal toxicity in rats at levels 1080 to 14,400 times the maximum recommended human ophthalmic dose (MRHOD)). There was no toxicity in rat offspring at (b) (4) times MRHOD. Olopatadine should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Animal Data

In a rabbit embryofetal study, rabbits treated orally at 400 mg/kg/day during organogenesis showed a decrease in live fetuses. This dose is 14,400 times the MRHOD, on a mg/m² basis.

An oral dose of 600 mg/kg/day olopatadine (10,800 times the MRHOD) was shown to be maternally toxic in rats, producing death and reduced maternal body weight gain. When administered to rats throughout organogenesis, olopatadine produced (b) (4) cleft palate at 60 mg/kg/day (1080 times the MRHOD), and reduced fetal weight in rats at 600 mg/kg/day. When administered to rats during late gestation and throughout the lactation period, olopatadine produced decreased neonatal survival at 60 mg/kg/day and reduced body weight gain in offspring at 4 mg/kg/day (b) (4). A dose of 2 mg/kg/day olopatadine produced no toxicity in rat offspring. An oral dose of 1 mg/kg olopatadine in rats resulted in a range of systemic plasma area under the curve (AUC) levels that were (b) (4) times higher than the observed human exposure (b) (4) following administration of the recommended human ophthalmic dose.

8.3 Nursing Mothers

Olopatadine has been identified in the milk of nursing rats following oral administration. Oral administration of olopatadine doses at or above 4 mg/kg/day throughout the lactation period produced decreased body weight gain in rat offspring; a dose of 2 mg/kg/day olopatadine produced no toxicity. It is not known whether topical ocular administration could result in sufficient systemic absorption to produce detectable quantities in the human breast milk. Nevertheless, caution should be exercised when PAZEO is administered to a nursing mother.

1.3.2. Applicant's proposed amended labeling (SDN014; 1-22-2015)

Blue double-underlined text represents applicant's proposed additions to the labeling;
Red strikethrough text represents applicant's proposed deletions from the labeling:

8.1 Pregnancy

Risk Summary

There are no adequate or well-controlled studies with PAZEO in pregnant women. Olopatadine caused maternal toxicity and embryofetal toxicity in rats at levels 10^{(b) (4)} to 14,400 times the maximum recommended human ophthalmic dose (MRHOD)). There was no toxicity in rat offspring at ^{(b) (4)} times MRHOD. Olopatadine should be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies the potential risk to the fetus.

Animal Data

In a rabbit embryofetal study, rabbits treated orally at 400 mg/kg/day during organogenesis showed a decrease in live fetuses. This dose is 14,400 times the MRHOD, on a mg/m² basis.

An oral dose of 600 mg/kg/day olopatadine (10,800 times the MRHOD) was shown to be maternally toxic in rats, producing death and reduced maternal body weight gain. When administered to rats throughout organogenesis, olopatadine produced decreased embryofetal viability and ^{(b) (4)} reduced fetal weight in rats at 600 mg/kg/day. When administered to rats during late gestation and throughout the lactation period, olopatadine produced decreased neonatal survival at 60 mg/kg/day and reduced body weight gain in offspring at 4 mg/kg/day ^{(b) (4)}. A dose of 2 mg/kg/day olopatadine produced no toxicity in rat offspring. An oral dose of 1 mg/kg olopatadine in rats resulted in a range of systemic plasma area under the curve (AUC) levels that were ^{(b) (4)} times higher than the observed human exposure ^{(b) (4)} following administration of the recommended human ophthalmic dose.

8.3 Nursing Mothers

Olopatadine has been identified in the milk of nursing rats following oral administration. Oral administration of olopatadine doses at or above 4 mg/kg/day throughout the lactation period produced decreased body weight gain in rat offspring; a dose of 2 mg/kg/day olopatadine produced no toxicity. It is not known whether topical ocular administration could result in sufficient systemic absorption to produce detectable quantities in the human breast milk. Nevertheless, caution should be exercised when PAZEO is administered to a nursing mother.

1.3.3 Data submitted by applicant to support proposed labeling changes

Morita, H., et al., 1987; "Spontaneous malformations in laboratory animals: Frequency of external, internal and skeletal malformations in rats, rabbits, and mice", *Cong Anom*, 27: 147 – 206.

Embryolethality

Following review of data from Study A-89-52, an embryo-fetal toxicity study in rats submitted to support the NDA, it was concluded that the ^{(b) (4)} dose caused

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.