

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:

206073Orig1s000

STATISTICAL REVIEW(S)



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Office of Translational Sciences
Office of Biostatistics

STATISTICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION CLINICAL STUDIES

NDA/BLA #: NDA 206073
Supplement #: Original-1
Drug Name: Empagliflozin (BI 10773)/linagliptin (BI 1356)
Indication(s): Type II Diabetes Mellitus
Applicant: Boehringer Ingelheim
Date(s): Stamp Date: January 30, 2014
User Fee Goal Date: January 31, 2014

Review Priority: Standard

Biometrics Division: Division of Biometrics II
Statistical Reviewer: Jennifer Clark, Ph.D.
Concurring Reviewers: Mark Rothmann, Ph.D., Statistical Team Leader
Thomas Permutt, Ph.D., Division Director

Medical Division: Division of Metabolism and Endocrinology Products
Clinical Team: William Chong, M.D.
Project Manager: Callie Capel Lynch

Table of Contents

1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	5
1.1	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
1.2	BRIEF OVERVIEW OF CLINICAL STUDIES	5
1.3	STATISTICAL ISSUES AND CONCERNS	8
2	INTRODUCTION	8
2.1	OVERVIEW.....	8
2.1.1	<i>History of Drug Development.</i>	9
2.2	DATA SOURCES	10
3	STATISTICAL EVALUATION	10
3.1	DATA AND ANALYSIS QUALITY	10
3.2	EVALUATION OF EFFICACY	11
3.2.1	<i>Study Design and Objectives</i>	11
3.2.2	<i>Statistical Methodologies.</i>	15
3.2.3	<i>Patient Disposition, Demographic and Baseline Characteristics.</i>	16
3.2.4	<i>Results and Conclusions</i>	21
4	FINDINGS IN SPECIAL/SUBGROUP POPULATIONS	30
4.1	GENDER, RACE, AGE, AND GEOGRAPHIC REGION	30
4.2	OTHER SPECIAL/SUBGROUP POPULATIONS	31
5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	33
5.1	STATISTICAL ISSUES.....	33
5.2	COLLECTIVE EVIDENCE	33
5.3	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	34

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Efficacy Results in Proposed Label for Empagliflozin Monotherapy compared to Placebo	9
Table 2: Efficacy Results from the label for Linagliptin (Tradjenta) compared to Placebo	10
Table 3: Applicant created table of Treatment Regimens/Study Intervals for 24 Week Analysis.....	12
Table 4: Descriptive Statistics for the Metformin Treated Population	17
Table 5: Baseline Descriptive Statistics for the Treatment Naive Population	20
Table 6: Mean HbA1c Results for Metformin Treated Subjects	22
Table 7: Primary Endpoint Adjusted Differences between Treatments for Metformin Subjects	22
Table 8: Mean FPG Results for Metformin Treated Subjects.....	23
Table 9: FPG Differences between Treatment Arms for Metformin Treated Subjects	23
Table 10: Mean Body Weight Results for Metformin Treated Subjects.....	24
Table 11: Change in Body Weight Differences between Treatment Arms, Metformin	24
Table 12: Proportion of Subjects with HbA1c<7% in the Metformin Treated Subjects	24
Table 13: Odds Ratios between Treatment Arms for HbA1c<7%, Metformin	25
Table 14: Mean HbA1c Results for Treatment Naive Subjects.....	25
Table 15: Primary Endpoint Adjusted Differences between Treatments, Treatment Naive.....	25
Table 16: Mean HbA1c at 52 Weeks.....	26
Table 17: HbA1c Adjusted Differences at 52 Weeks between Treatments, Treatment Naive	27
Table 18: Mean FPG for Treatment Naive Subjects.....	27
Table 19: FPG Differences between Treatment Arms, Treatment Naive	28
Table 20: Mean Body Weight for Treatment Naive Subjects.....	28
Table 21: Body Weight differences between Treatment Arms, Treatment Naive	28
Table 22: Treatment Naive Subjects with HbA1c<7%.....	29
Table 23: Adjusted ORs for HbA1c<7%, Treatment Naive	29
Table 24: Subgroup Statistics for Change in HbA1c in Metformin Treated Subjects	30
Table 25: Subgroup Statistics for Change in HbA1c in Treatment Naive Subjects.....	31
Table 26: Other Subgroup Stats for Change in HbA1c in Metformin Treated Subjects.....	31
Table 27: Other Subgroup Stats for Change in HbA1c in Treatment Naive Subjects	32

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Testing Hierarchy Results for Metformin Treated Subjects.....	7
Figure 2: Testing Hierarchy Results for Treatment Naive Subjects	7
Figure 3: Applicant created schematic for the Primary Endpoint Testing Hierarchy	14
Figure 4: Proportion of missing data at each visit for the metformin treated population	17
Figure 5: Proportion of Missing Data at each visit for the Treatment Naive Population.....	19

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.