CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

APPLICATION NUMBER:

201281Orig1s000

OTHER REVIEW(S)

505(b)(2) ASSESSMENT

Application Information		
NDA # **201281**	NDA Supplement #: **N/A**	Efficacy Supplement Type: **N/A**
Proprietary Name: **TBD** Established/Proper Name: **Linagliptin/Metformin Hydrochloride Fixed-Dose Combination** Dosage Form: **Tablets** Strengths: **2.5 mg/500 mg, 2.5 mg/850 mg, 2.5 mg/1000 mg**		
Applicant: **Boehringer Ingelheim Pharmaceuticals, Inc.**		
Date of Receipt: **January 19, 2011 (resubmitted on November 30, 2011 after Complete Reponse issued on November 16, 2011)**		
PDUFA Goal Date: **January 30, 2012**	Action Goal Date (if different):	
Proposed Indication(s): **Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus**		

GENERAL INFORMATION

1) Is this application for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product *OR* is the applicant relying on a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product to support approval of the proposed product?

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**YES** "contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.*

INFORMATION PROVIDED VIA RELIANCE (LISTED DRUG OR LITERATURE)

2) List the information essential to the approval of the proposed drug that is provided by reliance on our previous finding of safety and efficacy for a listed drug or by reliance on published literature. *(If not clearly identified by the applicant, this information can usually be derived from annotated labeling.)*

Source of information* (e.g., published literature, name of referenced product)	Information provided (e.g., pharmacokinetic data, or specific sections of labeling)
Glucophage (metformin hydrochloride) tablets (NDA 020357)	**Safety and efficacy data throughout US Prescribing Information**
Published literature	**Use in Specific Populations - Pregnancy (Section 8.1 of label)**

*each source of information should be listed on separate rows

3) Reliance on information regarding another product (whether a previously approved product or from published literature) must be scientifically appropriate. An applicant needs to provide a scientific "bridge" to demonstrate the relationship of the referenced and proposed products. Describe how the applicant bridged the proposed product to the referenced product(s). (Example: BA/BE studies)

BA/BE studies for Glucophage

RELIANCE ON PUBLISHED LITERATURE

4) (a) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly stated a reliance on published literature to support their application, is reliance on published literature necessary to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application *cannot* be approved without the published literature)?

YES ☒ NO ☐

*If "**NO**," proceed to question #5.*

(b) Does any of the published literature necessary to support approval identify a specific (e.g., brand name) *listed* drug product?

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**NO**", proceed to question #5.*

*If "**YES**", list the listed drug(s) identified by name and answer question #4(c).*

(c) Are the drug product(s) listed in (b) identified by the applicant as the listed drug(s)? **N/A**

YES ☐ NO ☐

RELIANCE ON LISTED DRUG(S)

Reliance on published literature which identifies a specific approved (listed) drug constitutes reliance on that listed drug. Please answer questions #5-9 accordingly.

5) Regardless of whether the applicant has explicitly referenced the listed drug(s), does the application **rely** on the finding of safety and effectiveness for one or more listed drugs (approved drugs) to support the approval of the proposed drug product (i.e., the application cannot be approved without this reliance)?

YES ☒ NO ☐

*If "**NO**," proceed to question #10.*

6) Name of listed drug(s) relied upon, and the NDA/ANDA #(s). Please indicate if the applicant explicitly identified the product as being relied upon (see note below):

Name of Drug	NDA/ANDA #	Did applicant specify reliance on the product? (Y/N)
Glucophage (metformin hydrochloride) tablets	**NDA 020357**	**Yes**

Applicants should specify reliance on the 356h, in the cover letter, and/or with their patent certification/statement. If you believe there is reliance on a listed product that has not been explicitly identified as such by the applicant, please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.

7) If this is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(2) application, does the supplement rely upon the same listed drug(s) as the original (b)(2) application?

N/A ☒ YES ☐ NO ☐

*If this application is a (b)(2) supplement to an original (b)(1) application or not a supplemental application, answer **"N/A"**.*

*If "**NO**", please contact the (b)(2) review staff in the Immediate Office, Office of New Drugs.*

8) Were any of the listed drug(s) relied upon for this application:

a) Approved in a 505(b)(2) application?

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**YES**", please list which drug(s).*

Name of drug(s) approved in a 505(b)(2) application:

b) Approved by the DESI process?

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**YES**", please list which drug(s).*

Name of drug(s) approved via the DESI process:

c) Described in a monograph?

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**YES**", please list which drug(s).*

Name of drug(s) described in a monograph:

d) Discontinued from marketing?

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**YES**", please list which drug(s) and answer question d) i. below.*
*If "**NO**", proceed to question #9.*

Name of drug(s) discontinued from marketing:

i) Were the products discontinued for reasons related to safety or effectiveness? **N/A**

YES ☐ NO ☐

(Information regarding whether a drug has been discontinued from marketing for reasons of safety or effectiveness may be available in the Orange Book. Refer to section 1.11 for an explanation, and section 6.1 for the list of discontinued drugs. If a determination of the reason for discontinuation has not been published in the Federal Register (and noted in the Orange Book), you will need to research the archive file and/or consult with the review team. Do not rely solely on any statements made by the sponsor.)

9) Describe the change from the listed drug(s) relied upon to support this (b)(2) application (for example, "This application provides for a new indication, otitis media" or "This application provides for a change in dosage form, from capsule to solution").

This application provides for a new fixed-dose combination of linagliptin and metformin hydrochloride, for the treatment of type 2 diabetes.

The purpose of the following two questions is to determine if there is an approved drug product that is equivalent or very similar to the product proposed for approval that should be referenced as a listed drug in the pending application.

*The assessment of pharmaceutical equivalence for a recombinant or biologically-derived product and/or protein or peptide product is complex. If you answered **YES to question #1**, proceed to question #12; if you answered **NO to question #1**, proceed to question #10 below.*

10) (a) Is there a pharmaceutical equivalent(s) to the product proposed in the 505(b)(2) application that is already approved (via an NDA or ANDA)?

*(**Pharmaceutical equivalents** are drug products in identical dosage forms that: **(1)** contain identical amounts of the identical active drug ingredient, i.e., the same salt or ester of the same therapeutic moiety, or, in the case of modified release dosage forms that require a reservoir or overage or such forms as prefilled syringes where residual volume may vary, that deliver identical amounts of the active drug ingredient over the identical dosing period; **(2)** do not necessarily contain the same inactive ingredients; **and** **(3)** meet the identical compendial or other applicable standard of identity, strength, quality, and purity, including potency and, where applicable, content uniformity, disintegration times, and/or dissolution rates. (21 CFR 320.1(c)).*

***Note** that for proposed combinations of one or more previously approved drugs, a pharmaceutical equivalent must also be a combination of the same drugs.*

YES ☐ NO ☒

*If "**NO**" to (a) proceed to question #11.*
*If "**YES**" to (a), answer (b) and (c) then proceed to question #12.*

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.