

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND  
RESEARCH**

***APPLICATION NUMBER:***  
**22-334**

**CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY AND  
BIOPHARMACEUTICS REVIEW(S)**

---

## Clinical Pharmacology Review

---

<b>NDA</b>	22-334
<b>Submission Date:</b>	27 June 2008
<b>Brand Name:</b>	Afinitor®
<b>Generic Name:</b>	everolimus
<b>Formulation:</b>	5 mg and 10 mg tablets
<b>OCP Reviewer:</b>	Julie M. Bullock, Pharm.D.
<b>Pharmacometrics Reviewer:</b>	Nitin Mehrotra, Ph.D.
<b>OCP Team Leader:</b>	Brian Booth, Ph.D.
<b>Pharmacometrics Team Leader:</b>	Christoffer Tornoe, Ph.D.
<b>OCP Division:</b>	Division of Clinical Pharmacology 5
<b>ORM Division:</b>	Division of Drug Oncology Products
<b>Sponsor:</b>	Novartis
<b>Submission Type; Code:</b>	Original NDA; 000
<b>Dosing regimen:</b>	10 mg once daily
<b>Indication:</b>	treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma

---

OCP Briefing held on February 19, 2009 attended by: Amna Ibrahim, Pengfei Song, Ramana Uppoor, Phil Colangelo, Jun Yang, Aakanksha Khandelwal, Sarah Schrieber, Nam Atiqur Rahman, Gil Burkart, Rosane Charlab-Orbach, Gerlie Gieser, Qi Liu, Chris Tornoe, Ping Zhao, Lillian Zhang, Anthony Murgo, Ellen Maher, Qin Ryan, Partha Roy.

### Table of contents

1	Executive Summary .....	4
1.1	Recommendations .....	4
1.2	Clinical Pharmacology Summary .....	5
2	Question Based Review .....	6
2.1	General Attributes .....	6
2.2	General Clinical Pharmacology .....	6
2.3	Intrinsic Factors .....	19
2.4	Extrinsic Factors .....	24
2.5	General Biopharmaceutics .....	33
2.6	Analytical Section .....	36
3	Detailed Labeling Recommendations .....	39
4	Appendices .....	45
4.1	CMC Response .....	45
4.2	Pharmacometric review .....	49

### List of Tables

TABLE 1. clinical pharmacology studies using the transplant tablets in healthy volunteers and transplant patients .....	7
TABLE 2. Clinical pharmacology studies using the oncology tablets in healthy volunteers and patients with cancer .....	7
TABLE 3. Phase 1 and 2 studies of everolimus for other cancer indications .....	8
TABLE 4. Efficacy endpoints of the dose finding and efficacy trials for advanced RCC .....	9

TABLE 5. Weeks 2-5 Pre-dose everolimus concentrations following 5 to 70 mg weekly doses.....	12
TABLE 6. Mean $\pm$ SD single- and multiple-dose pharmacokinetic parameters following a 5 or 10 mg oral everolimus dose in patients with cancer.....	13
TABLE 7. Everolimus pharmacokinetic parameters on Cycle 1 Day 1 (single dose) and Cycle 1 Day 15 (multiple dose) in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma receiving 10 mg QD.....	14
TABLE 8. Mean $\pm$ SD single dose PK parameters of 10-mg everolimus in healthy volunteers (study C2119) and patients with cancer (C2101).....	15
TABLE 9: Free fraction and bound fraction of [3H]-everolimus in serum (sponsors table).....	15
TABLE 10. Mean $\pm$ SD and relative amounts for everolimus and metabolites in blood (taken from Dr. Lee's review).....	18
TABLE 11. Single and multiple dose pharmacokinetic parameters of everolimus in Japanese and multiple dose pharmacokinetics in Caucasian solid tumor patients. ....	20
TABLE 12. Effect of moderate hepatic impairment on everolimus pharmacokinetic parameters following a single dose of 2 mg (taken from Jang-Ike's review).....	23
TABLE 13: Permeability Coefficient ( $P_{eff}$ ) of everolimus in Caco-2 monolayers.....	26
TABLE 14: Drug-Drug interaction studies.....	27
TABLE 15. Effect of atorvastatin or pravastatin on everolimus PK (taken from Dr. Lee's Review).....	28
TABLE 16. Effect of everolimus on the pharmacokinetics of atorvastatin and pravastatin (Taken from Dr. Lee's review).....	29
TABLE 17. Effect of CYP3A induction by rifampin on everolimus pharmacokinetics (Taken from Dr. Lee's review) .....	30
TABLE 18. Everolimus PK parameters following 5 days of ketoconazole administration (taken from Dr. Lee's review). ....	31
TABLE 19. Everolimus pharmacokinetics in combination with erythromycin (taken from Dr. Lee's review).....	32
TABLE 20. Everolimus pharmacokinetic parameters following co-administration with verapamil.....	33
TABLE 21. Mean $\pm$ SD pharmacokinetic parameters of everolimus in healthy subjects following single oral doses of 10 mg. ....	34
TABLE 22. Ratios of Geometric means (test/reference) and 90% confidence intervals for primary PK parameters. ....	34
TABLE 23. Analytical methods for determination of everolimus.....	37
TABLE 24. Summary of in-process performance of the analytical methods used for the measurement of everolimus blood concentrations in oncology studies.....	38

### List of Figures

FIGURE 1. Kaplan Meier plots for progression free survival for placebo and treatment groups. Q1, Q2, Q3 and Q4 are quartiles based on steady state trough concentrations. ....	10
FIGURE 2. Percent adverse events in the four $C_{trough}$ quartiles. The concentration ranges are 1.4-12.4, 12.5-19, 1.1-30.6 and 30.7 to 135 ng/ml for 1, 2, 3 and 4, respectively. ....	10
FIGURE 3. Mean week 4 everolimus vs. time concentrations (right: 24 hours; left 264 hours) for subjects	

with cancer who received once weekly doses.....	13
FIGURE 4: Distribution of [ <sup>3</sup> H]everolimus between human blood components (Sponsors figure).....	16
FIGURE 5: Proposed biotransformation pathways for everolimus (Sponsors figure) .....	17
FIGURE 6: Dose proportionality of Cmax and AUC <sub>0-<math>\tau</math></sub> for everolimus given once-weekly in patients with advanced solid tumors over the dose range of 5 to 70 mg. ....	19
FIGURE 7. Everolimus AU <sub>T</sub> and Cmax versus dose for Asian (Japanese) and Caucasian subjects with solid tumors.....	20
FIGURE 8. Multiple dose CL/F versus various liver function parameters for 5 and 10 mg everolimus in Caucasian (blue circles) and Japanese (red triangles) patients with solid tumors.....	21
FIGURE 9. No effect of baseline creatinine clearance on oral clearance of everolimus.....	22
FIGURE 10. No effect of hepatic function ((Left) total bilirubin and (Right) serum albumin) on oral clearance of everolimus.....	24
FIGURE 11: Inhibition of Rho123 efflux by RAD001 .....	26

Appears This Way  
On Original

## **1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Everolimus is an inhibitor of the human kinase mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR). The current submission is the original NDA for everolimus for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Everolimus has also been evaluated under two NDAs for transplant indications.

To support the efficacy in advanced renal cell carcinoma, the sponsor conducted one randomized, controlled phase 3 study. Patients in the phase 3 study were randomized to receive best supportive care plus placebo or 10 mg of everolimus daily. Progression free survival was the primary endpoint and the median PFS for the everolimus treatment arm ranged from 3.71 to 5.52 months compared to 1.87 months for patients receiving placebo.

Everolimus is a CYP3A4 substrate. Multiple drug-drug interaction studies were conducted under the NDAs for the transplant indications. Based on the results from the drug-drug interaction studies with ketoconazole, erythromycin and verapamil no dose adjustments will be provided in the label since the increases in everolimus exposures can not be adjusted by lowering the dose to 5 mg QD. For strong CYP3A4 inducers, a dose increase to 20 mg would compensate for the decrease in everolimus exposure. For strong CYP3A4 inhibitors because of the significant increase in exposure labeling instructions co-administration is not recommended. Currently, for moderate CYP3A4 inhibitors generic [REDACTED] statements will be proposed until the sponsor can develop a 2.5 mg dose for market. b(4)

A study in patients with normal hepatic function and patients with moderate hepatic impairment supported the labeling recommendation of a 50% dose reduction for patients with moderate hepatic impairment. Patients with severe hepatic impairment have not been studied and that everolimus should not be used in this patient population.

The IRT review of the thorough QT study suggested that everolimus has a low potential to prolong the QT interval. IRT proposed labeling has been added to the package insert.

### **1.1 RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Office of Clinical Pharmacology/Division of Clinical Pharmacology 5 has reviewed the information contained in NDA 22-334. This NDA is considered acceptable from a clinical pharmacology perspective.

#### **Post Marketing Requirements**

1. A study in patients with severe hepatic impairment.
2. Make available a 2.5 mg formulation.

#### **Labeling Recommendations**

Please refer to Section 3 - Detailed Labeling Recommendations

---

Reviewer: Julie M. Bullock, Pharm.D.      Deputy Director & Acting Team Leader: Brian Booth, Ph.D.

---

Cc: DDOP: CSO - C Cottrell; MTL - E Maher; MO - Q Ryan  
DCP- Reviewers - J Bullock, N Mehrotra; PM TL - C Tornoe; Acting TL & DDD - B Booth;  
5: DD - A Rahman

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.