# CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH

# APPLICATION NUMBER: 22-301

# **CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY AND BIOPHARMACEUTICS REVIEW(S)**

**R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

Δ

NDA 22-30	Submission Date(s)	December 21, 2007 June 8, 2008 June 13, 2008 July 25, 2008		
Brand Name	TRADE NAME (to-be	TRADE NAME (to-be-determined)   Mesalamine		
Generic Name	Mesalamine			
PDUFA goal date	October 31, 2008	October 31, 2008		
Reviewer	Insook Kim, Ph.D.	Insook Kim, Ph.D.		
Team Leader	Sue-Chih Lee, Ph.D.	Sue-Chih Lee, Ph.D.		
OCP Division	Division of Clinical P	Division of Clinical Pharmacology III		
OND Division	Division of Gastroen Metabolism	Division of Gastroenterology Products and In-Born Errors of Metabolism		
Sponsor	Salix Pharmaceuticals	Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc.		
Relevant IND(s)	IND 62,113	IND 62,113		
Submission Type;	Code Original	505(b)(2)		
Formulation; Strengths; Regimen	• Oral capsule dosage form contains 0.375 g of mesalamine			
Indication		Maintenance of remission of ulcerative colitis in patients 18 years of age and older		

#### **OFFICE OF CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY REVIEW**

Optional Intra-divisional briefing was held on September 29, 2008 in presence of Dr. Dennis Bashaw and Dr. Hae-Young Ahn.

#### **Table of Contents**

DOCKE

Δ

R

М

Δ

1	Exec	utive Summary	2
	1.1	Recommendations	2
	1.2	Phase IV Commitments	2
	1.3	Summary of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Findings	2
2	Ques	tion-Based Review	5
	2.1	General Attributes of the drug	5
	2.2	General Clinical Pharmacology	9
	2.4	Extrinsic Factors	13
	2.5	General Biopharmaceutics	13
	2.6	Analytical Section	15
3	Deta	iled Labeling Recommendations	.17
4	Appo	endices	.20
	4.1	Proposed Package Insert	
	4.2.	Individual Study Review	
	4.4	OCP Filing Form	

#### **1** Executive Summary

#### 1.1 Recommendations

The Division of Clinical Pharmacology 3 has reviewed the clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics information submitted to NDA 22-301 and found it acceptable from clinical pharmacology standpoint provided a mutual agreement regarding the label language can be reached between the sponsor and the Agency.

#### **1.2** Phase IV Commitments

None.

#### **1.3** Summary of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics Findings Overview of Clinical Pharmacology and Biopharmaceutics program

In support of NDA 22-301, submitted were final study reports of 11 phase 1/2 clinical trials, three phase 3 trials (MPPK 3003, MPPK 3004, MPPK3005) and one in vitro study for drug interaction. Of 11 submitted studies, three of them were most relevant: a relative BA study evaluating mesalamine granules BID and QD and Asacol BID (MPPK 1001), a food effect study (MPPK 1002) and single dose and multiple dose PK study (MPPK1003). All other studies were supportive and conducted using different formulations from the to-be-marketed formulation. Most of the supportive studies were relative BA or food effect study for formulations in development and one PK study were conducted in pediatric patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

In the clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics program, the sponsor used mesalamine granules (MG) in different dosage forms, in sachet (sMG) or in capsules (eMG: TRADE NAME). The to-bemarketed product is a capsule containing mesalamine granules which was used in phase 3 clinical trials (MPPK 3003, MPPK 3004, and MPPK3005) and one phase 1 PK study (MPPK1003). The food effect and relative BA studies (MPPK1001 and MPPK1002) were conducted using mesalamine granules (of the same formulation as that in capsule) in sachet. Theses two products were equivalent based on an in vitro comparative dissolution study (please, also see CMC review by Dr. Gene W. Holbert).

Other than sMG or eMG, several supportive studies including one 12 month phase 3 trial were conducted using FMG (Dr.Falk mesalamine granules in sachet). The FMG was different in formulation from MG and manufactured in Europe while MG was manufactured in the US. The difference in manufacturing site for modified release products is considered the level 3 change in manufacturing site and normally requires a BE study for adequate bridging. Because two products were not compared in an in vivo BE study, we do not consider that two products were sufficiently bridged. Therefore, the studies conducted using FMG product are considered only supportive. The supportive studies pertinent to clinical pharmacology and biopharmaceutics were not reviewed for this NDA. However, one study BIO/SAG-16 conducted with radiolabeled FMG was reviewed because of a labeling claim based on the study.

#### Pharmacokinetic characteristics

DOCKE

The pharmacokinetics of mesalamine (5-ASA) and its metabolite, N-Ac-5-ASA, were studied after single dose and multiple oral doses of 1.5 g TRADE NAME (QD, 4 x 375 mg capsules) in 24 healthy

subjects under fasting condition. After a single dose administration of 1.5 g TRADENAME, the peak plasma concentrations of mesalamine were observed at about 4 hours post dose and the half-life was about 9 hours. The mean pharmacokinetic parameters of mesalamine and N-Ac-5-ASA are in Table 1.

Table 1: Mean (±SD) plasma pharmacokinetic parameters of mesalamine (5-ASA) and N-Ac-	3-
ASA after a single dose and multiple dose administration of 1.5 g TADE NAME in Health	iy
Volunteers	

•	Single Dose	Multiple Doses <sup>b</sup>	
	(%CV)	(%CV)	
	(n=24)	(n=24)	
Mesalamine (5-ASA)			
AUC <sub>0-24</sub> (µg*h/mL)	$10.96 \pm 4.52$	$16.90 \pm 5.70$	
	(41.3)	(33.7)	
AUC <sub>0-inf</sub> (µg*h/mL)	$13.57 \pm 5.44$	$26.60 \pm 14.82$	
	(39.8)	(55.7)	
$C_{max}(\mu g/mL)$	$2.13 \pm 1.10$	$2.72 \pm 1.14$	
	(51.4)	(41.8)	
$T_{max}(h)^{a}$	4 (2, 16)	4 (2, 8)	
t <sub>1/2</sub> (h)	$9.2 \pm 7.1$	$10.1 \pm 8.1$	
	(59.3)	(68.5)	
N-Ac-5-ASA			
AUC <sub>0-24</sub> (µg*h/mL)	$25.55 \pm 5.52$	$37.00 \pm 8.90$	
	(21.6)(	(24)	
$AUC_{0-inf}(\mu g^{h/mL})$	50.62±23.06	86.06 ±52.48	
	(45.6)	(61)	
$C_{max}(\mu g/mL)$	$2.78 \pm 0.85$	$3.40 \pm 0.90$	
	(30.5)	(26.3)	
$T_{max}(h)^{a}$	4 (4, 12)	5 (2, 8)	
$t_{\frac{1}{2}}(h)$	$12.4 \pm 10.8$	13.6±10.2	
	(11.6)	(77.8)	

<sup>a</sup>; median (min, max)

<sup>b;</sup> 7 days of treatment: Steady-state was achieved on Day 6

In the multiple-dose period, each subject received TRADENAME 1.5 g every 24 hours (QD) for 7 consecutive days. Steady state was achieved on day 6 and mean Cmax was about 22-25% higher for 5-ASA and N-Ac-5-ASA at steady state compared to that after a single dose administration. At steady state, moderate increases (1.5-fold and 1.7-fold) in systemic exposure (AUC<sub>0-24</sub>) to 5-ASA (47.5% CV) and N-Ac-5-ASA (27.4% CV) were observed when compared with a single-dose of TRADE NAME.

In a separate study, after a single-dose of 1.6 g mesalamine granule in sachet (sMG, 2X800mg) under fasting condition about 31.6  $\pm$ 10.6% (mean  $\pm$  SD) of the administered dose was systemically absorbed based on the mean combined cumulative urinary excretion of mesalamine and N-Ac-5-ASA. The metabolite, N-Ac-5-ASA was predominant in urine consisting of 30 % of administered dose and approximately 2% was excreted unchanged in urine.

#### Food effect

DOCKH

Effect of a high fat meal on absorption of mesalamine was studied in 30 healthy subjects receiving 1.6 g of MG in sachet (2 x 0.8 g) (Study MPPK1002). Under fed condition,  $t_{max}$  for mesalamine and N-Ac-5-ASA was prolonged by 4 and 2 hours, respectively. There was a moderate increase in absorption of mesalamine (11-16% increase in AUC) while Cmax of mesalamine was similar with or without food. Nevertheless, overall absorption of administered dose was not affected by a high fat meal, based on the combined cumulative urinary excretion of mesalamine and N-Ac-5-ASA. Therefore, sMG can be taken without regard to food. Although the food effect study was conducted using sMG, the food effect study results can be extended to the TRADENAME (eMG) since the release-controlling portion of the products remains the same and the equivalence between sMG and TRADENAME was demonstrated. In addition, the phase 3 trials conducted with eMG, the drug was taken without regard to food intake.

#### Mesalamine (5-ASA) and N-Ac-5-ASA did not inhibit the major CYP enzymes evaluated.

The final study report of an in vitro drug interaction study (XT0055039) was submitted previously as NDA 20-610, SLR017 dated May 3, 2007 and reviewed by Dr. Abimbola Adelowale of the Division of Clinical Pharmacology 3. The concentration range studied in the in vitro study e.g. 0.1-100  $\mu$ M sufficiently covered the mean Cmax for 5-ASA (17  $\mu$ M) and N-Ac-5-ASA (35  $\mu$ M) at steady state with TRADE NAME. There was no significant inhibition of CYP enzymes (Cyp1A2, Cyp2C9, Cyp2C19, Cyp2D6, and Cyp3A4/5) by 5-ASA and its major metabolite N-Ac-5-ASA. Therefore, the study report XT0055039 was not further reviewed this time.

#### **Relative Bioavailability**

DOCKE

The bioavailability of 5-AS and N-Ac-5-ASA after 4 day administration of sMG by dosage regimen of 0.8 g BID was compared to the dosage regimen of 1.6 g QD. It was also compared to AUC and Cmax after administration of Asacol 0.8 g ( $2 \times 400 \text{ mg}$ ) BID for 4 days in 30 healthy subjects (Study MPPK1001).

The systemic exposure to 5-ASA and N-Ac-5-ASA was higher after sMG 0.8 g BID and 1.6 g QD than Asacol 0.8 g BID. Higher variability (72-128% CV) was observed in PK parameters after Asacol treatment compared to after the sMG treatments (33-55%). The median tmax of 5-ASA and N-Ac-5-ASA after sMG QD was about 3 hours and it was about 16 hours for sMG BID and Asacol BID indicating a carryover effect from the first dose. The mean AUC and Cmax of 5-ASA and N-Ac-5-ASA after sMG 0.8g BID and sMG 1.6 QD treatments were higher than those for Asacol 0.8 g BID treatment. The sponsor concluded that PK parameters for Asacol treatment were unreliable because intact or partially intact tablets were recovered from stool samples of 50% of subjects after Asacol treatment. Because the safety and efficacy of 1.5g eMG once daily dosing were evaluated in two placebo-controlled phase 3 clinical trials and one open-label long-term safety trial, the comparison of BA of TRADENAME to Asacol 400 mg tablet is not considered critical to this NDA.

The ratio of mean Cmax after sMG 0.8 g BID to after sMG 1.6g QD was 153% for 5-ASA and 118% for N-Ac-5-ASA while the AUC was similar between two treatments. However, attainment of the steady-state is uncertain as sMG was administered once daily or twice daily for 4 days only.

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.