

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND
RESEARCH**

APPLICATION NUMBER:
NDA 22-249

STATISTICAL REVIEW(S)



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Office of Translational Sciences
Office of Biostatistics

STATISTICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION

CLINICAL STUDIES – TEAM LEADER’S MEMO

NDA/Serial Number: 22-249

Drug Name: Bendamustine (Treanda)

Indication(s): patients with (Binet Stage B/C) B-CLL requiring therapy

Applicant: Cephalon

Date(s): Submission date: September 20, 2007
PDUFA due date: March 20, 2008

Review Priority: Priority (pilot for GRMP)

Biometrics Division: Division of Biometrics 5 (HFD-711)

Primary Statistical Reviewer: Shenghui Tang, Ph.D.

Secondary Reviewer: Rajeshwari Sridhara, Ph.D., Team Leader/Deputy Division Director

Concurring Reviewer: Aloka Chakravarty, Ph.D., Division Director

Medical Division: Oncology Drug Products (HFD-150)

Clinical Team: Qin Ryan, M.D., Ph.D., Virginia Kwitkowski, M.S., RN., CRNP
Amna Ibrahim, M.D. (CDTL)

Project Manager: Ms. Dorothy Pease

Keywords: Co-primary endpoints, objective response rate, progression-free survival

Conclusion and Recommendation

This is Team Leader's memo of the New Drug Application (NDA) submission seeking approval for bendamustine (Treanda) as the first line treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) based on one randomized study comparing to chlorambucil in previously untreated adults with symptomatic Binet stage B or stage C CLL requiring treatment. I concur with the primary reviewer, Dr. Tang's conclusion that the data submitted supports the claim that bendamustine has demonstrated superior overall response rate (ORR) and progression-free survival (PFS) compared to chlorambucil (ORR of 59% vs. 26% and PFS HR = 0.52, p-value < 0.0001). Please refer to the primary review by Dr. Tang for the details of the study and the results.

Progression-free survival was assessed by a panel of three independent expert hematologic oncologists and also objectively calculated using an algorithm based on NCI working group criteria. According to the sponsor, in performing the review the members of the independent panel were allowed to exercise clinical judgment in determining response and did not include bone marrow evaluations as required by the NCI working group criteria. The FDA reviewers were able to verify the calculated response rates and PFS, but could not verify the same as determined by the independent panel due the subjective nature of the independent evaluation. Therefore, it is recommended that the calculated response rates and PFS estimates be included in the product label.

**This is a representation of an electronic record that was signed electronically and
this page is the manifestation of the electronic signature.**

/s/

Rajeshwari Sridhara
2/25/2008 10:20:09 AM
BIOMETRICS

Aloka Chakravarty
2/25/2008 12:04:52 PM
BIOMETRICS



U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Food and Drug Administration
Center for Drug Evaluation Research
Office of Translational Science
Office of Biostatistics

STATISTICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION
CLINICAL STUDIES

NDA /Serial Number: 22-249
Drug Name: Treanda
Applicant: Cephalon
Indication(s): Patients with (Binet Stage B/C)
B-CLL Requiring Therapy
Date(s): Submission Date: September 20, 2007
PDUFA Date: March 20, 2008
Review Completion Date: February 19, 2008
Review Priority: Priority
Biometrics Division: Division of Biometrics V (HFD-711)
Statistical Reviewer: Shenghui Tang, Ph.D.
Concurring Reviewer: Rajeshwari Sridhara, Ph.D., Team Leader
Aloka Chakravarty, Ph.D., Director
Medical Division: Oncology Drug Products (HFD-150)
Clinical Team: Qin Ryan, M.D., Virginia Kwitkowski, M.D.
Amna Ibrahim, M.D.
Project Manager: Ms. Dorothy Pease
Keywords: Objective response rate, Duration of response, PFS

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.