

**CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH**

**APPROVAL PACKAGE FOR:**

**APPLICATION NUMBER**

**NDA 21-574**

**Statistical Review(s)**

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES  
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION  
CENTER FOR DRUG EVALUATION AND RESEARCH  
OFFICE OF BIostatISTICS

## Statistical Review and Evaluation CLINICAL STUDIES

NDA: 21-574  
Name of drug: Fortamet extended release (metformin XT)  
Applicant: Andrx Labs  
Indication: Type 2 diabetes  
Documents and datasets reviewed: \\Cdsesub1\n21574\N\_000\2003-01-17\clinstat  
\\Cdsesub1\n21574\N\_000\2002-12-17\crt\datasets  
Dates: Received 12/19/02; user fee (10 months) 10/19/03

### HFD-510

Project manager: Jena Weber, B.S.  
Clinical reviewer: Robert Misbin, M.D.

### HFD-715

Team leader and statistical reviewer: J. Todd Sahlroot, Ph.D.  
Biometrics division director and secondary reviewer: S. Edward Nevius, Ph.D.

Keywords: NDA review, clinical studies, dropouts

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Summary and conclusions	3
2 Introduction	4
3 Design	4
4 Baseline and demographic variables	6
5 Disposition	6
6 Statistical methods	8
7 Results	8
7.1 Dosing of test drug	8
7.2 Efficacy	9
7.2.1 HbA1c	9
7.2.2 Fasting plasma glucose	16
7.3 Comedications	17
7.4 Compliance	18
7.5 Assay sensitivity	18
8 Suggestions for labeling	18

*Appears This Way  
On Original*

## 1 Summary and conclusions

The sponsor submitted data from two Phase 3, controlled trials of Fortamet (metformin XT or "XT"), an extended release oral anti-diabetic medication given once-a-day.

Study 301 was a multi-center, randomized, double-blind (double-dummy), active-controlled clinical trial in 680 patients with type 2 diabetes. The trial compared XT and Glucophage, an immediate-release oral anti-diabetic medication given twice-a-day.

Study 302 was a multi-center, randomized, double-blind (double-dummy), controlled trial in 115 Type 2 patients. The primary objective was to compare the tolerability and safety of 2000 mg and 2500 mg of XT given once a day and the same dose of Glucophage given twice a day. The rationale for the study was to provide sufficient safety data for XT at the 2 highest doses to give 100 patients at each dose for both studies combined. HbA1c data were collected but the protocol stated that "efficacy will not be evaluated" because this was not the stated objective of the trial. Trial 302 was not reviewed for efficacy.

In trial 301, XT was non-inferior to Glucophage on the primary efficacy variable, HbA1c change from baseline, using the pre-defined non-inferiority margin of 0.40. Mean changes from baseline for XT and Glucophage were 0.40 and 0.14, respectively. The least square mean treatment difference was 0.25 (2-sided 95% CI = 0.14, 0.37). XT was also statistically inferior to Glucophage since the lower bound of the CI excluded zero ( $p < .0001$ ).

One hundred twenty-five (125, 18%) randomized patients did not complete the trial. The ratio of these dropouts in the XT : Glucophage groups was 3 to 2. Although XT was shown to be non-inferior to Glucophage for the trial as a whole, dropouts appeared to represent a significant subgroup of patients who were unable to establish diabetic control with XT. The 61 XT dropouts with on-treatment data had a mean HbA1c of 8.10, an increase of 0.73 over baseline. The 38 Glucophage dropouts with on-treatment data had a mean HbA1c of 7.38, an increase of 0.19 over baseline. The treatment difference was 0.54 for dropouts.

Eighteen (18, 5%) XT patients and 8 (2%) Glucophage patients dropped out due to a stated lack of efficacy ( $p = .047$ ). However, the poor XT response for dropouts was not confined to patients that dropped due to lack of efficacy but was also seen for patients who dropped for other reasons as well.

The groups were similar with respect to study drug dosing, concomitant insulin and oral anti-diabetic use, and compliance. Therefore, the statistical difference between the groups on the primary endpoint could not be attributed to any imbalances between the groups in these variables.

## 2 Introduction

The sponsor submitted data from two Phase 3, active-controlled trials of Fortamet (metformin XT or "XT"), an extended release oral anti-diabetic medication given once-a-day.

Study 301 was a multi-center, randomized, double-blind (double-dummy), controlled trial in 680 patients with Type 2 diabetes. The trial compared XT and Glucophage, an immediate-release oral anti-diabetic medication given twice-a-day. The objective of the trial was to evaluate the non-inferiority of XT compared to Glucophage at therapeutic doses over a 6-month period on the change from baseline in HbA1c. The pre-defined non-inferiority margin was 0.4 (%).

Study 302 was a multi-center, randomized, double-blind (double-dummy), controlled trial in 115 Type 2 patients. The primary objective was to compare the tolerability and safety of 2000 mg and 2500 mg of XT given once a day and the same dose of Glucophage given twice a day. The rationale for the study was to provide sufficient safety data for XT at the 2 highest doses to give 100 patients at each dose for both studies combined. HbA1c data were collected; however, the protocol stated that "efficacy will not be evaluated" because it was not the stated objective of the trial. No power calculations were performed. (This reviewer calculated the power of the study to be approximately 21% to test for non-inferiority, given the number of patients studied and assuming the same parameter estimates from Study 301.) For these reasons, Trial 302 was not reviewed.

## 3 Design

Table 1 shows major design characteristics of trial 301.

**Table 1. Study characteristics**

Trial # Centers Dates	Patients	# randomized	Design Primary endpoint	Duration of double blind period
155-301  47 US centers  7/00 - 6/01	M and F ages 30-70 with NIDDM <sup>1</sup> receiving Glucophage. HbA1c ≤9% at Visit 1	Metformin XT QD n=339 Glucophage BID n=341	Randomized active-controlled double-blind  Change from baseline in HbA1c	6 weeks titration followed by 20 weeks maintenance

<sup>1</sup> NIDDM = non insulin dependent diabetes mellitus (Type 2 diabetes)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.